

Structural and magnetic anomalies among the spin-chain compounds, $\text{Ca}_3\text{Co}_{1+x}\text{Ir}_{1-x}\text{O}_6$ [†]

S RAYAPROL, KAUSIK SENGUPTA and E V SAMPATHKUMARAN*
Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road,
Colaba, Mumbai 400 005, India
e-mail: sampath@tifr.res.in

Abstract. The results of X-ray diffraction, and *ac* and *dc* magnetisation as a function of temperature are reported for a new class of spin-chain oxides, $\text{Ca}_3\text{Co}_{1+x}\text{Ir}_{1-x}\text{O}_6$. While the $x = 0.0, 0.3, 0.5$ and 1.0 are found to form in the K_4CdCl_6 -derived rhombohedral (space group $R\bar{3}c$) structure, the $x = 0.7$ composition is found to undergo a monoclinic distortion in contrast to a literature report. Apparently, the change in the crystal symmetry with x manifests itself as a change in the sign of paramagnetic Curie temperature for this composition as though magnetic coupling sensitively depends on such crystallographic distortions. All the compositions exhibit spin-glass anomalies with an unusually *large* frequency dependence of the peak temperature in *ac* susceptibility in a temperature range below 50 K, interestingly obeying Vogel–Fulcher relationship even for the stoichiometric compounds.

Keywords. $\text{Ca}_3\text{Co}_{1+x}\text{Ir}_{1-x}\text{O}_6$; spin-chain oxides; spin-glass.

1. Introduction

The investigation of quasi-one-dimensional magnetic compounds has picked up momentum in recent years. In this respect, the compounds of the type $(\text{Sr}, \text{Ca})_3\text{ABO}_6$ ($A, B =$ a metallic ion, magnetic or non-magnetic), crystallising in the K_4CdCl_6 -derived structures have started attracting the attention of physicists (see 1–16 and references therein), considering a variety of substitutions possible at A and B sites, thereby providing an ideal opportunity to tune relative strengths of interchain and intrachain magnetic interaction. The structure is characterised by the presence of chains of A and B ions running along *c*-direction arranged hexagonally forming a triangular lattice. We have found many magnetic anomalies in this class of compounds.^{8–16}

Here, we focus our attention on the series, $\text{Ca}_3\text{Co}_{1+x}\text{Ir}_{1-x}\text{O}_6$, the end members ($\text{Ca}_3\text{Co}_2\text{O}_6$ and $\text{Ca}_3\text{CoIrO}_6$, rhombohedral structure, space group $R\bar{3}c$) of which have been reported to exhibit exotic magnetic properties. For instance, the compound, $\text{Ca}_3\text{Co}_2\text{O}_6$, has been proposed to serve as a rare example for ‘partially disordered anti-ferromagnetic structure (PDA)’: That is, for an intermediate temperature (T) range (12–24 K), $2/3$ of the ferromagnetic Co chains are antiferromagnetically coupled to each other, whereas the rest remain incoherent; as T is lowered below 12 K, these incoherent chains have been proposed to undergo spin freezing, with the application of a magnetic field (H) inducing ferrimagnetic structure. There are several plateaus in the isothermal

[†]Dedicated to Professor C N R Rao on his 70th birthday

*For correspondence

magnetisation (M) data^{3,6} in the magnetically ordered state, the features being dependent on temperature/field cycling-history, which are difficult to understand. On the other hand, the compound, $\text{Ca}_3\text{CoIrO}_6$, exhibits magnetic frustration effects around 30–50 K in the *ac* and *dc* M data, but without getting influenced by the application of magnetic fields as high as even 40 kOe, however without showing PDA structural features.¹⁴ A common feature between these two compounds is that the *ac* magnetic susceptibility (χ) exhibits an unusually large frequency (ω) dependence in the vicinity of magnetic ordering temperature, uncharacteristic of spin glasses. It thus appears that these compounds are unconventional spin-glasses, that too in a stoichiometric environment due to topological frustration. It is of interest to investigate the magnetic behaviour of the solid solution based on both the compounds, viz., on $\text{Ca}_3\text{Co}_{1+x}\text{Ir}_{1-x}\text{O}_6$, to see how the properties evolve from one end to the other. Kageyama *et al*¹ actually have investigated the *dc* χ behaviour of this solid solution ($x = 0.0, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9$ and 1.0) and found that the paramagnetic Curie temperature (θ_p) changes sign around $x = 0.7$. This surprising finding needs confirmation and, if found to be true, there is a need to understand its origin. With this primary motivation and also to get a better insight into the magnetic behaviour of this solid solution, we have carefully investigated *ac* and *dc* magnetisation behaviour of this solid solution as a function of temperature along with X-ray diffraction, the results of which are reported in this article.

2. Experimental

The compositions, $x = 0.0, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7$ and 1.0 , were prepared by solid-state route as discussed by Kageyama *et al*¹. Stoichiometric amounts of CaCO_3 , Co_3O_4 and Ir (purity of all being more than 99.9%) were thoroughly mixed in an agate mortar. The pressed pellets were then calcined in air at 1173 K for a day. Then the specimens were ground again and the pressed pellets were heated in air for some more days with intermediate grindings as follows: For all x except $x = 1.0$, 1323 K for 30 h; 1373 K for 30 h; 1423 K for 30 h. Sintering at a lower temperature of 1273 K (2×24 h) was required for $x = 1.0$, as otherwise the sample has been reported¹ to decompose. The samples were characterised by X-ray diffraction (CuK_α). The *dc* and *ac* magnetisation measurements were performed employing a commercial superconducting quantum interference device (Quantum Design).

3. Results and discussion

3.1 X-ray diffraction

We first discuss the results of X-ray diffraction. We find that the patterns are essentially the same for all the compositions except for $x = 0.7$ and the diffraction patterns could be indexed to rhombohedral structure ($R\bar{3}c$), as known earlier.¹ However, for $x = 0.7$, additional lines (see figure 1) are found to appear and the entire pattern for this composition could be indexed only if one assumes a monoclinic distortion belonging to a space group of $P2$. Thus, the present results establish that there is a distortion of the crystal structure for an intermediate range. In order to demonstrate this point, the patterns for three compositions in the relevant range of angle are shown in figure 1 and the crystallographic parameters are listed in table 1.




Figure 1. X-ray diffraction pattern ($\text{CuK}\alpha$) at 300 K for the compositions $x=0.0$, 0.7 and 1.0 of the series $\text{Ca}_3\text{Co}_{1+x}\text{Ir}_{1-x}\text{O}_6$ at higher angles to highlight the appearance of extra peaks due to monoclinic distortion for $x=0.7$. The Miller indices are given.

Table 1. Composition (x), crystal structure, space group, the lattice constants (a and c), the paramagnetic Curie temperature (q_p) and effective moment (m_{eff}) obtained from the T range 250–300 K and the temperature (T_0) at which the features due to magnetic transitions are seen as inferred in the susceptibility data, for the series $\text{Ca}_3\text{Co}_{1+x}\text{Ir}_{1-x}\text{O}_6$.

x	Structure/space group	a (Å)	b (Å)	c (Å)	q_p (K) (± 2 K)	m_{eff} (m_B) ($\pm 0.1 m_B$)	T_0 (K)
0.0	Rhombhohedral $R\bar{3}c$	9.214	9.214	10.900	160	4.48	31
0.3	Rhombhohedral $R\bar{3}c$	9.193	9.913	10.802	99	4.56	30, 7
0.5	Rhombhohedral $R3c$	9.188	9.188	10.768	55	4.85	30, 12, 7
0.7	Monoclinic* $P2_1$	9.270	9.721	6.662	-26	5.45	29, 12, 7
1.0	Rhombhohedral $R3c$	9.078	9.078	10.384	33	5.14	24, 12, 7

* $b = 92.31^\circ$

3.2 *dc magnetisation*

The results of *dc* magnetisation measurements are shown in figures 2–4. As known in the literature, the features in the low temperature χ data are complicated due to the onset of magnetic ordering. In order to see the trends in the values of χ , we have shown the χ data in the paramagnetic state (above 50 K) in figure 2 in the form of inverse χ vs T . It is distinctly clear that the plots are not linear for any of the compositions. If one looks at the linear region in a narrow high T range, say 250–300 K, one can distinctly see that the sign of χ is positive for all compositions except for $x = 0.7$, confirming the findings of the previous report.¹ Viewed together with the inferences from the X-ray diffraction patterns discussed above, it is obvious that there is a close correspondence between crystal structure and magnetic properties, in the sense that monoclinic deformation modifies the sign of exchange interaction as well. In table 1, we have also listed the values of the magnetic moment (μ_B) obtained from the linear region. These values are in fair agreement with those reported by Kageyama *et al*¹, though we observe marginally higher values at the $\text{Ca}_3\text{CoIrO}_6$ -end. This discrepancy could be attributed to the uncertainties arising due to quasi-one-dimensional nature of the materials and, for the same reason, it is generally difficult to separate out the contributions from Co and Ir.

In order to understand how the properties are modified with x at low temperatures, we have tracked the *dc* χ behaviour for the zero-field-cooled (ZFC) and field-cooled (FC) states of the specimens for $H = 5$ kOe and 100 Oe (see figure 3). We first look at the data for $x = 1.0$. There is a sudden increase in χ below 24 K, as though there is a ferromagnetic transition. In the ZFC-data for $H = 5$ kOe, as the T is lowered, this feature is followed by a peak at 12 K indicating the existence of another (antiferromagnetic-like) transition at this temperature. The ZFC-FC χ curves bifurcate below 12 K only, but not at 24 K, indicating that the 24 K transition is not of a spin-glass-type. All these observations




Figure 2. Inverse *dc* susceptibility ($H = 5$ kOe) as a function of temperature above 50 K in the series, $\text{Ca}_3\text{Co}_{1+x}\text{Ir}_{1-x}\text{O}_6$. A line is drawn through the linear region above 250 K.

are in broad agreement with those known in the literature. The new finding made here is that the bifurcation of ZFC-FC curves obtained at $H = 100$ Oe does not begin at 12 K, but near 7 K – the same T at which the ZFC-curve recorded at 5 kOe shows a hump, as though there is another magnetic transition around this temperature. These results imply that the magnetism of this compound is much more complex than what is believed in the literature. Now looking at the other end of the series, that is, for $x = 0.0$, ZFC-FC curves bifurcate around 30 K for both the field values.¹⁴ It is interesting to see that this bifurcation at 30 K is seen even for a small replacement of Co by Ir, say for $x = 0.7$, essentially superimposed over the features seen for $\text{Ca}_3\text{Co}_2\text{O}_6$ below 24 K. This tendency persists even for $x = 0.3$.

3.3 *ac* magnetic susceptibility

We have also carefully tracked the real part of *ac* behaviour for all the compositions. Needless to emphasise that the two end members show a large \mathbf{n} -dependence of \mathbf{C} in the




Figure 3. *dc* magnetic susceptibility as a function of temperature taken in the presence of 100 Oe and 5 kOe for $\text{Ca}_3\text{Co}_{1+x}\text{Ir}_{1-x}\text{O}_6$ for the zero-field-cooled and field-cooled states of the specimens.

vicinity of magnetic transitions (figure 4), as brought out in earlier articles.^{6,14} It is intriguing to note that the magnitude of the shift of the peak temperature (T_f) with increasing n is uncharacteristic of conventional spin-glasses. In order to explore whether the spin-glass concepts as applied to dilute systems can still be applicable to these stoichiometric systems as well, we analysed the data in terms of Vogel–Fulcher formula:¹⁷

$$n = n_0 \exp[-E_a / k_B(T_f - T_a)],$$

where E_a is the activation energy and T_a is believed to be the measure of intercluster interaction strength. That means a plot of T_f vs $1/\ln(n_0/n)$ should be a straight line, which is interestingly found to be the case (see figure 5) if one assumes the value of n_0 to be the same (10^8 Hz) as that of ideal spin-glasses.¹⁷ Thus, these compounds turned out to be novel examples for spin glass behaviour in the stoichiometric situation. Now turning to solid solutions, it is to be noted that the observed *ac* $\chi(T)$ above 10 K for every compo-




Figure 4. *ac* magnetic susceptibility (real part) as a function of temperature for $\text{Ca}_3\text{Co}_{1+x}\text{Ir}_{1-x}\text{O}_6$ recorded at various frequencies. The frequency (1, 10, 100, 1000 Hz) increases with the peak temperatures in the direction of the arrow.




Figure 5. The plot of peak temperature in the real part of ac susceptibility vs $1/\ln(n_0/n)$ for $\text{Ca}_3\text{Co}_2\text{O}_6$ and $\text{Ca}_3\text{CoIrO}_6$ to test the validity of Vogel–Fulcher relationship.




Figure 6. Isothermal magnetisation at 5 K for $\text{Ca}_3\text{Co}_{1+x}\text{Ir}_{1-x}\text{O}_6$ and the lines through data points serve as guides to the eye.

sition is a superimposition of the features observed for the end members (similar to the dc \mathbf{C} behaviour) with the peak temperature being the same for all compositions for a given n (that means, there are two prominent peak temperatures for intermediate compositions). Therefore one naturally tends to doubt whether the intermediate compositions are physical mixtures of two end compositions. A careful look at all the data reported in the article convincingly reveals that this is not the case: (i) The change in crystal symmetry and the sign of \mathbf{q} for $x=0.7$ can not be understood in terms of a physical mixture of the two end compounds; (ii) the 7 K-feature in the ac \mathbf{C} data is more prominent for the intermediate

compositions, particularly for $x = 0.5$. (iii) The isothermal $M(T)$ data recorded at 5 K (see figure 6) for intermediate compositions is not found to be a linear extrapolation of those of end members. Therefore, the observed ac and dc $\chi(T)$ behaviour for intermediate compositions are quite fascinating, warranting further studies for better understanding.

4. Conclusions

To conclude, we have reported ac and dc magnetisation behaviour of the quasi-one-dimensional magnetic compounds, $\text{Ca}_3\text{Co}_{1+x}\text{Ir}_{1-x}\text{O}_6$. It is interesting that all these compositions exhibit novel spin-glass characteristics, with the new point emphasised here being the validity of the Vogel–Fulcher relationship in the ac χ data even in stoichiometric compounds. For some intermediate compositions, there appears to be a crystallographic distortion to monoclinic structure (as inferred from the X-ray diffraction patterns at room temperature) which is apparently responsible for the anomalies in χ reported in previous literature (which is also confirmed here). This implies that there is a correlation between structure and magnetism in the paramagnetic state of this class of compounds. It is of interest to carry out low temperature (below 50 K) crystallographic studies in order to explore the existence of similar correlations even in the vicinity of magnetic ordering temperature.

Acknowledgements

We thank Kartik K Iyer for his experimental help during the course of this work.

References

1. Kageyama H, Yoshimura K and Kosuge K 1998 *J. Solid State Chem.* **140** 14
2. Nguyen T N and zur Loye H C 1995 *J. Solid State Chem.* **117** 300; Irons S H *et al* 2000 *Phys. Rev.* **B61** 11594
3. Kageyama H, Yoshimura K, Kosuge K, Mitamura H and Goto T 1997 *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **66** 1607
4. Nguyen T N, Lee P A and zur Loye H C 1996 *Science* **271** 489
5. Kageyama H, Kawasaki S, Mibu K, Takano M, Yoshimura K and Kosuge K 1997 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79** 3258
6. Mignon A, Michel C, Masset A C, Martin C and Raveau B 2000 *Eur. Phys. J.* **B15** 657
7. Niitaka S, Yoshimura K, Kosuge K, Nishi M and Kakurai K 2001 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87** 177202
8. Sampathkumaran E V and Asad Niazi 2002 *Phys. Rev.* **B65** 180401
9. Asad Niazi, Paulose P L and Sampathkumaran E V 2002 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88** 107202
10. Asad Niazi, Sampathkumaran E V, Paulose P L, Eckert D, Handstein A and Mueller KH 2001 *Solid State Commun.* **120** 11
11. Asad Niazi, Sampathkumaran E V, Paulose P L, Eckert D, Handstein A and Mueller KH 2002 *Phys. Rev.* **B65** 064418
12. Mahesh Kumar M and Sampathkumaran E V 2000 *Solid State Commun.* **114** 643
13. Paulose P L, Mahesh Kumar M, Subham Majumdar and Sampathkumaran E V 2000 *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **12** 8889
14. Rayaprol S, Sengupta Kausik and Sampathkumaran E V 2003 *Phys. Rev.* **B67** 180404(R)
15. Sengupta Kausik, Rayaprol S, Iyer Kartik K and Sampathkumaran E V 2003 *Phys. Rev.* **B68** 12411
16. Loewenhaupt M, Schafer W, Niazi A and Sampathkumaran E V 2003 *Eur. Phys. Lett.* **63** 374
17. Binder K and Young A P 1986 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **58** 801